

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,714	03/04/2004	Kia Silverbrook	ZG125US	6832
24011	7590 09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET			POTTER, ROY KARL	
BALMAIN,	2041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALÍA			2822	

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/791,714	SILVERBROOK, KIA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Roy K Potter	2822			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard part of the maximum statutory.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•				
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merit	s is		
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the strict of the striction and the strict of the striction and the strict of the striction and the strict of th	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	:		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2822

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 – 12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,701,457. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they appear to be claiming the same structure.

The present application recites "a plurality of islands" and a "combination of voids and islands acting to reduce rigidity of the carrier" while U.S. Patent 6,710,457 recites "a plurality of island defining portions" and a rigidity reducing arrangement".

"Island defining portions" would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made based on a teaching of "a plurality of island".

Similarly a teaching of the rigidity reducing arrangement would have rendered the recited combination of voids and islands obvious.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,714 Page 3

Art Unit: 2822

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy K Potter whose telephone number is 571 272 1842. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roy K Potter Primary Examiner Art Unit 2822